

City of Leavenworth Request for Proposals
Purchase of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) System
Bid Addendum #1

Request:

Omni Meter (mechanical T2, R2, C2) as an alternate for meters 1.5” or larger.

Decision:

The City will accept Omni Meters (T2, R2, or C2) as an alternative only for meters larger than 2”. All meters 2” or smaller must be magnetic or ultrasonic.

Use of alternate meters must be specified as to size and model on the bid sheets where appropriate.

Acknowledgement Addendum #1 (include in Bid Packet):

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

City of Leavenworth Request for Proposals
Purchase of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) System

Question Responses

1. ¾ Meters. Are they ¾ with 7 ½ in Lay Length or 9 in Lay Length.

Response: The ¾ inch meters are 7.5 inches in lay length.

2. Per the RFP, lid replacement is not part of the responsibilities of the respondent. It is potential that lids can be drilled to accept a through the lid node installation. Will Lid drilling be the responsibility of the City or Respondents.

- a. If responsibility of the respondent, can you estimate, for the purpose of a cost proposal, the number of Meter box lids that will need to be drilled?

Response: The City will drill any requested lids deemed acceptable for node placement by the contractor at the contractor's scheduled request.

3. Will the City provide Covered, Secure storage for meters, nodes, etc. while the project is being completed? If no, does the City have empty space that the respondent can utilize to house a trailer/POD to store equipment and supplies?

Response: The City will supply space to house a trailer/POD to store equipment and supplies.

4. Can the city provide GIS data that includes all Light Poles, street signs, sporting field poles or traffic lights that contractors may hang infrastructure from? If GIS data is not available, can the City provide a list with GPS coordinates or addresses?

- a. Of the addresses provided, can you clarify if these locations have power available?

Response: No City GIS data exists for the above. Use of existing poles will be limited and will be addressed on a case to case basis with the awarded contractor.

5. Can you clarify if these locations have power available?

- a. Reservoir Tank 1, 47.6130404, -120.667913
b. Reservoir Tank 2, 47.58667838, -120.676455
c. Locations 3, 47.54518816, -120.701834
d. Location 4, 47.56244962, -120.679792
e. City Owned Building, 47.59514046, -120.664263

Response: All locations above have power, however, connection for use, routing, permitting, etc. will be the responsibility of the awarded contractor.

6. On page 18, "Water Meter/Node Pricing" 1.5 Inch meter is repeated. One line has 38 pieces, one has 39. This totals to 77, which is referenced in the introduction. Same question for 2 inch

metes, one line is 13, one line is 51, which adds to 64 in the intro. Was there any reason we should be aware of that these meter sizes were split into two lines?

Response: Numbers refer to different types of existing meters and can be considered the same for bidding purposes.

7. Per the Sample Contract, Page 25 1. Scope of Work, can you provide an estimated Project Completion date?

Response: The estimated Project Completion date is September 30, 2020.

8. On p. 26 on Liquidated Damages the RFP refers to 1-08.9 of Standard Specifications can you please provide these for our reference.

Response: 1-08.9 refers to the City adopted WSDOT Standard Specifications, Roads and Bridges and Municipal Construction.

9. Similarly, p. 29 says the City may terminate the Contract in accord with 1-08.10 Standard Specifications; can you please provide these for our reference.

Response: Same as #8.